1 Ford Greene California State Bar No. 107601 ANG 1 7 1994 2 HUB LAW OFFICES 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard 3 San Anselmo, California 94960-1949 HOWARD HANSON MARIN COUNTY CLERK C HARDING DEP Attorney for Defendants 4 RECEIVED GERALD ARMSTRONG and THE 5 GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION AUG 1 8 1994 6 **HUB LAW OFFICES** 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN 9 10 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL,) No. 157 680 a California not-for-profit religious corporation, DECLARATION OF FORD GREENE 11 IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO 12 Plaintiff, COMPEL FURTHER RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES 13 vs. AND FOR MONETARY SANCTIONS 14 GERALD ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL WALTON; THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION, a California for-profit 15 corporation; DOES 1 through 100, 16 inclusive, Date: 9/2/94 17 Time: 10:00 a.m. Defendants. Dept: Referee Benz 18 Trial Date: 9/29/94 19 FORD GREENE declares: I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the Courts 20 21 of the State of California and am the attorney of record for Gerald Armstrong, defendant and Cross-Complainant herein. 22 23 On March 4, 1994, I caused to be served on plaintiff 24 Church of Scientology International Defendant Gerald Armstrong's 25 Form Interrogatories. On or about April 8, 1994, plaintiff served its 26 responses thereto. Certain of said responses contain objections, 27

or are otherwise incomplete, evasive or inadequate as set forth in

the Separate Statement of Form Interrogatories and Responses in Dispute, served and filed herewith. Thereafter, I met and conferred with counsel in consequence of which supplemental responses were provided. Said supplemental responses provided little, if any, additional information.

- 4. Plaintiff should be ordered to more fully respond to each of said interrogatories because the information sought thereby is relevant to the subject matter of this action, is not privileged or otherwise exempt from discovery, and for the reasons stated in said Separate Statement of Form Interrogatories and Responses in Dispute, as well as in the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities and the papers filed in support of the companion motion to compel further responses to Armstrong's First Set of Requests for Admissions.
- 5. I am informed that each of the objections and responses was prepared for plaintiff by its attorneys, Laurie J. Bartilson of Bowles and Moxon, and by Andrew Wilson of Wilson, Ryan and Campolongo, and that each of said objections and/or responses was made upon the advice of said attorneys.
- 6. Plaintiff's refusal to respond to said Form
  Interrogatories and the advice of the aforesaid attorneys was without substantial justification because each interrogatory is relevant to the subject matter of this lawsuit.
- 7. Prior to the filing of the motion to compel further responses, I made an attempt to resolve with Laurie J. Bartilson the disputed issues arising from the objections by writing her a letter which I faxed to her on May 27th to which I attached the substance of the Separate Statement of Form Interrogatories and

Responses in Dispute. Thereafter, I faxed her another letter on May 31, 1994 wherein I advised her that if I did not hear from her I would have to file the instant motion. Then, I telephoned Ms. Bartilson and because she was not available, I left a detailed message with her secretary to call me.

8. As a result of the refusal to answer, defendant has incurred and will incur reasonable costs and attorneys fees in connection with this motion and the hearing thereon which will be totaled in a subsequent declaration.

Under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California I hereby declare that the foregoing is true and correct according to my first-hand knowledge, except those matters stated to be on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Executed on August 17, 1994 at San Anselmo, California

FORD GREENE